arXiv:0810.5285v1 [math.PR] 29 Oct 2008

On weak generalized stability and (c, d)-pseudostable random variables via functional equations

Dedicated to the memory of Andrzej Lasota

W. Jarczyk and J. K. Misiewicz *

Abstract

In this paper we give a first attempt to define and study stable distributions with respect to the weak generalized convolution, focusing our attention on the symmetric weakly stable distribution. As in the case of the classical convolution, characterization of distributions stable in the sense of the weak generalized convolution depends on solving some functional equations in the class of characteristic functions.

Key words: weakly stable distribution, symmetric stable distribution, ℓ_α-symmetric distribution, generalized convolution, scale mixture, functional equation, convexity
Mathematics Subject Classification: 60A10, 60B05, 60E05, 60E07, 60E10, 39B22, 26A51.

1 Introduction

The investigations of weakly stable random variables started in the seventies in the papers of Kucharczak and Urbanik (see [11, 24]). Later a series of papers on weakly stable distributions written by Urbanik, Kucharczak

---

*Department of Mathematics Informatics and Econometrics, University of Zielona Góra, ul. Szafrana 4A, 65-516 Zielona Góra, Poland
This paper was partially written while the second author was a visiting professor of Delft Institute of Applied Mathematics, Delft University of Technology, Holland

and Vol'kovich was published (see e.g. [12, 29, 30, 31]). Recently a paper written by Misiewicz, Oleszkiewicz and Urbanik (see [17]) appeared, where one can find a full characterization of weakly stable distributions with non-trivial discrete part, and a substantial attempt to characterize weakly stable distributions in the general case.

In stochastic modeling of real processes, using independent random variables or Gaussian processes in a variety of constructions turned out to be not sufficient or adequate. Multidimensional stable distributions have nice linear properties and enable more complicated structures of dependencies, thus recently the role of stable processes in stochastic modeling is growing. On the other hand stable distributions are very difficult in calculations. However there are some efficient techniques for their computer simulation.

In this situation weakly stable distributions and processes seem to be good candidates for use in stochastic modeling. They extend Feller's idea of subordinated processes. They have nice linear properties, namely: if $(\mathbf{X}_i)$ is a sequence of independent identically distributed random vectors with a weakly stable distribution, then every linear combination $\sum a_i \mathbf{X}_i$ has the same distribution as $\mathbf{X}_1 \cdot \theta$ for some random variable θ independent of $\mathbf{X}_1$. This condition holds not only when (a_i) is a sequence of real numbers, but also when (a_i) is a sequence of random variables such that (a_i) and $(\mathbf{X}_i)$ are independent. This means that dependence structure of the linear combination $\sum a_i \mathbf{X}_i$ and dependence structure of the random vector $\mathbf{X}_1$ are the same, and the sequence (a_i) is responsible only for the radial behavior. Moreover, weak stability is preserved under taking linear operators, projections or functionals. On the other hand, radial properties of distribution can be arbitrarily defined by choosing a proper random variable θ independent of $\mathbf{X}_1$ and considering the distribution of $\theta \cdot \mathbf{X}_1$. Similar properties of tempered stable distributions (see e.g. [20]) are the reason why they are so important now in statistical physics modelling turbulence, or in mathematical finance for modelling stochastic volatility.

In this paper we develop the idea of distributions stable with respect to a generalized convolution defined by weakly stable variables. In this construction the weakly stable variable plays the role of a catalyst in the presence of which the underlining process can develop. This weakly stable variable can be also treated as a filter, so that we observe the original process only by its filtered values. In this sense, we want to characterize distributions which are stable after filtering.

By $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{E})$ we denote the set of all probability measures on a separable

Banach space $\mathbb{E}$ (with dual $\mathbb{E}^*$). For simplicity we write $\mathcal{P}$ for the set of all probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}_+$ denotes the set of probability measures on $[0, \infty)$. The symbol Φ stands for the set of all characteristic functions on $\mathbb{R}$, whereas $\delta_{\mathbf{x}}$ denotes the probability measure concentrated at the point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{E}$. If a sequence of probability measures $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to a probability measure λ, we write $\lambda_n \to \lambda$.

For a random vector (or random variable) $\mathbf{X}$, we write $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X})$ for the distribution of $\mathbf{X}$. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, $\lambda = \mathcal{L}(\theta)$, we write $|\lambda| = \mathcal{L}(|\theta|)$. If $\mu = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{E})$, then the characteristic function $\widehat{\mu}\colon \mathbb{E}^* \to \mathbb{C}$ of the measure μ (of the random vector $\mathbf{X}$) is defined by

$$\widehat{\mu}(\xi) = \mathbf{E} \exp\{i < \xi, \mathbf{X} >\} = \int_{\mathbb{E}} \exp\{i < \xi, x >\}\, \mu(dx).$$

For random vectors $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$, we write $\mathbf{X} \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{Y}$ for $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Y})$. If $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ are independent random vectors then $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y})$ is the convolution of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Y})$, denoted by $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}) * \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Y})$.

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, a rescaling operator $T_t\colon \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{E}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{E})$ is defined as follows:

$$T_t\mu(A) = \begin{cases} \mu(A/t) & \text{if} \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \delta_0(A) & \text{if} \quad t = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that if $\mu = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X})$ then $T_t\mu = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{tX})$. The scale mixture $\mu \circ \lambda$ of the measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{E})$ with respect to the measure $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ is defined by

$$\mu \circ \lambda(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} T_t\mu(A)\lambda(dt).$$

If $\mu = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X})$ and $\lambda = \mathcal{L}(\theta)$ with $\mathbf{X}$ and θ independent, then $\mu \circ \lambda = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}\theta)$.

A random vector $\mathbf{X}$ with the distribution μ on a real separable Banach space $\mathbb{E}$ is weakly stable iff

$$\forall\, a, b \in \mathbb{R}\ \exists\, \theta \quad a\mathbf{X} + b\mathbf{X}' \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{X}\theta, \tag{A}$$

where $\mathbf{X}'$ is an independent copy of $\mathbf{X}$ and the random variable θ is independent of $\mathbf{X}$. It was proved in [17] that $\mathbf{X}$ is weakly stable if and only if

$$\forall\, \theta_1, \theta_2\ \exists\, \theta \quad \theta_1\mathbf{X} + \theta_2\mathbf{X}' \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{X}\theta, \tag{B}$$

where θ_1, θ_2 are real random variables such that $\theta_1, \theta_2, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}'$ are independent and the random variable θ is independent of $\mathbf{X}$. In the language of probability measures, the condition (B) can be written as

$$\forall\, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{P}\ \exists\, \lambda \in \mathcal{P} \quad (\mu \circ \lambda_1) * (\mu \circ \lambda_1) = \mu \circ \lambda, \tag{C}$$

for λ, λ_i the distributions of θ, θ_i, $i = 1, 2$. It was shown in [17] that the measure λ is uniquely determined if the measure μ is not symmetric. For a symmetric measure μ, we only have uniqueness of the measure $|\lambda|$.

The best known examples of weakly stable random vectors are symmetric stable vectors, and in this case the random variable θ appearing in the condition (A) is a constant, $\theta \equiv (|a|^\alpha + |b|^\alpha)^{1/\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 2]$. Another family of weakly stable distributions consists of uniform distributions ω_n on unit spheres $S_{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and their lower-dimensional projections.

It was shown in [17] that if a weakly stable distribution μ contains a discrete part, then it is discrete and either $\mu = \delta_0$, or $\mu = \frac{1}{2}\delta_a + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{-a}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{E} \setminus \{0\}$. From now on we will assume that the considered weakly stable measure μ is non-trivial in the sense that it is not discrete.

We can now define a generalized weak convolution $\oplus = \oplus_\mu$ for any non-trivial weakly stable measure μ.

Definition 1 *Let X be a non-trivial random vector with the weakly stable distribution μ. The weak generalized convolution $\oplus$ of measures $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ is defined by*

$$\lambda_1 \oplus \lambda_2 = \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{if } \mu \text{ is not symmetric,} \\ |\lambda| & \text{if } \mu \text{ is symmetric,} \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ is such that $(\mu \circ \lambda_1) * (\mu \circ \lambda_2) = \mu \circ \lambda$. For two independent random variables θ_1 and θ_2 with distributions λ_1 and λ_2 respectively, the weak generalized sum $\theta_1 \oplus \theta_2$ is the random variable defined by

$$\theta_1 \mathbf{X} + \theta_2 \mathbf{X}' \overset{d}{=} \mathbf{X}\,(\theta_1 \oplus \theta_2)\,,$$

where $\theta_1, \theta_2, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}'$ are independent, the random variable $\theta_1 \oplus \theta_2$ is independent of $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathcal{L}(\theta_1 \oplus \theta_2) = \lambda_1 \oplus \lambda_2$.

The operation $\oplus$ in $\mathcal{P}$ is commutative and associative. Moreover, as shown in [18], the following conditions hold:

(i) the measure δ_0 is the unit element, i.e. $\delta_0 \oplus \lambda = \lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ if μ is not symmetric and $\delta_0 \oplus \lambda = |\lambda|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ if μ is symmetric;

(ii) $(p\lambda_1 + q\lambda_2) \oplus \lambda = p(\lambda_1 \oplus \lambda) + q(\lambda_2 \oplus \lambda)$, whenever $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $p \geqslant 0$, $q \geqslant 0$, $p + q = 1$ (linearity);

(iii) $(T_a\lambda_1) \oplus (T_a\lambda_2) = T_a(\lambda_1 \oplus \lambda_2)$ for any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $a > 0$ (homogeneity);

(iv) if $\lambda_n \to \lambda_0$ then $\lambda_n \oplus \lambda \to \lambda_0 \oplus \lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ (continuity).

The idea of generalized convolutions has been extensively studied after it was introduced by K. Urbanik in 1964 [25]. The definition proposed by K. Urbanik is as follows:

A commutative and associative binary operation $\diamond : \mathcal{P}_+ \times \mathcal{P}_+ \to \mathcal{P}_+$ is called a *generalized convolution* if it satisfies conditions (i)÷(iv) with $\oplus$ replaced by $\diamond$ and the following condition holds:

(v) there exists a sequence $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers such that the sequence $(T_{c_n}\delta_1^{\diamond n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ weakly converges to a measure different from δ_0.

The first, but not the most important difference between the definition of generalized convolution $\diamond$ given by K. Urbanik and the definition of weak generalized convolution $\oplus$ is the domain, i.e. $\oplus : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$. This implies, in particular, that most of the methods used in studying generalized convolutions cannot be directly applied for weak generalized convolutions. Another difference is that the weak generalized convolution have properties (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), but, in general, not (v). In spite of this disadvantage, we do not have to assume that the algebra $(\mathcal{P}, \oplus_\mu)$ is regular, i.e. that there exists a non-trivial homomorphism of $(\mathcal{P}, \oplus_\mu)$ into a complex field. The assumption that there exists a non-trivial homomorphism from $(\mathcal{P}_+, \diamond)$ into the positive half-line was crucial in studying generalized convolutions. In the case of the weak generalized convolution $\oplus_\mu$ we have that for every $\xi \in \mathbb{E}^*$ the formula

$$h_\xi(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\mu}(t\xi)\lambda(dt)$$

defines a homomorphism of $(\mathcal{P}, \oplus_\mu)$ into a complex field. Moreover, if the weakly stable measure μ is non-trivial, then there exists $\xi \in \mathbb{E}^*$ such that h_ξ is non-trivial.

Talking about the homomorphism and treating the set $\mathcal{P}$ as an algebra we underline here that $\mathcal{P}$ is equipped with the generalized convolution $\oplus_\mu$ as a binary operation and with rescaling measures operator T_t, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which can be treated as multiplication by scalars. Moreover, we see that convex linear combinations of probability measures are also probability measures, thus $\mathcal{P}$ can be treated as a subset of some linear space.

In this paper we consider only symmetric weakly stable distributions μ, thus the functions h_ξ, $\xi \in \mathbb{E}^*$, and $\widehat{\mu}$ are real-valued. Moreover, for symmetric μ we know that $\mu \circ \lambda = \mu \circ |\lambda|$, so we can restrict our attention to the set $(\mathcal{P}_+, \oplus_\mu)$ instead of $(\mathcal{P}, \oplus_\mu)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 solve a functional equation, which will be needed later. In section 3 we describe the problem of characterizing stable distributions with respect to the generalized convolution $\oplus_\mu$. The description of strictly stable distributions in this sense is given in subsection 3.1. In the following subsections we discuss the possibility of having occasionally some strange behavior of the function d. The last subsection contains discussion of the general case of stable, but not strictly stable, distributions in the sense of the generalized convolution $\oplus_\mu$.

2 Functional equation

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of all continuous functions $f\colon [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(0) = 0$ and 0 is an isolated point of the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}\colon f(x) = 0\}$.

Theorem 1 *Let $a, b \in (0,\infty)$ and let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ be a solution of the functional equation*

$$f(t) = f(at) + f(bt). \tag{1}$$

Then $a, b \in (0,1)$ and there exist $p > 0$ and a continuous function $H\colon (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $H(t) = H(at) = H(bt)$ for every $t > 0$, and

$$f(t) = t^p H(t), \qquad t > 0.$$

Moreover, if $\ln a / \ln b$ is irrational then the function H is constant.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on a series of lemmas. The first one can be easily proved by mathematical induction.

Lemma 1 *Let $a, b \in (0, \infty)$ and let $f\colon [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a solution of equation* (1)*. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} f\left(a^k b^{n-k} t\right).$$

$\square$

Lemma 2 *Let $a, b \in (0, \infty)$. If* (1) *has a solution in the class $\mathcal{F}$ then $a, b \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ be a solution of (1). Without loss of generality we may assume that $b \leqslant a$ and $f(x) > 0$ for every $x \in (0, u)$ with some $u > 0$. Then for every $t \in (0, u)$ we have $ba^{-1}t \in (0, u)$ and, consequently,

$$f(t) = f(aa^{-1}t) = f(a^{-1}t) - f(ba^{-1}t) < f(a^{-1}t).$$

If $a > 1$ this and mathematical induction would imply that $f(t) \leqslant f(a^{-n}t)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and by the continuity of f we would have $f(t) = 0$ for every $t \in (0, u)$. If $a = 1$ then equation (1) imply that $f(bt) = 0$ for each $t > 0$. In both cases $f \notin \mathcal{F}$ which contradicts our assumption. Therefore $a < 1$. $\square$

Lemma 3 *Let $a, b \in (0, \infty)$ and let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ be a solution of equation* (1)*. Then $f(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.*

Proof. Assume, for instance, that for some $u > 0$ we have $f(x) > 0$ for every $x \in (0, u)$. By Lemma 2 we know that $a, b \in (0, 1)$, thus for every fixed $t > 0$ we can find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough to have

$$a^k b^{n-k} t \in (0, u), \qquad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n.$$

Then, by Lemma 1, we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} f\left(a^k b^{n-k} t\right) > 0$$

which ends the proof. $\square$

The proofs of Lemmas 4–6 below take pattern of some ideas from [9] (see also [1]).

Lemma 4 *Let* $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. *For every* $\alpha > 0$ *and* $\beta \in (0, 2\alpha)$ *there exists* $n_0 \geqslant \max\{|i|, |j|\}$ *such that for every* $n \geqslant 2n_0$

$$\binom{n+i+j}{k+i} + \alpha^2 \binom{n-i-j}{k-i} \geqslant \beta \binom{n}{k}, \qquad k \in \{n_0, \dots, n-n_0\}.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \in (0, 2\alpha)$ be fixed. Assume first that $i + j \geqslant 0$. Then at least one of the numbers i, j, for example i, is nonnegative. There exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_0 \geqslant \max\{|i|, |j|\}$ and

$$\left(1 - \frac{i}{n_0+1}\right)^i \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{n_0+1}\right)^{|j|} \geqslant \frac{\beta}{2\alpha}.$$

Fix integers n and k such that $n \geqslant 2n_0$ and $n_0 \leqslant k \leqslant n - n_0$. Then we have

$$\binom{n+i+j}{k+i} + \alpha^2 \binom{n-i-j}{k-i} = \binom{n}{k} \Bigg[w_n + \frac{\alpha^2}{w_n} \cdot \frac{(n+1)\dots(n+i+j)}{(n-i-j+1)\dots n} \\ \cdot \left(1 - \frac{i}{k+1}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{i}{k+i}\right) \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{n-k+1}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{n-k+|j|}\right) \Bigg],$$

where

$$w_n = \frac{(n+1)\dots(n+i+j) \cdot (n-k)!}{(k+1)\dots(k+i) \cdot (n-k+j)!}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\binom{n+i+j}{k+i} + \alpha^2 \binom{n-i-j}{k-i} \\ &\quad \geqslant \binom{n}{k} \left[w_n + \frac{\alpha^2}{w_n} \left(1 - \frac{i}{n_0+1}\right)^i \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{n_0+1}\right)^{|j|} \right] \\ &\quad \geqslant \binom{n}{k} \left[w_n + \frac{\beta^2}{4} \frac{1}{w_n} \right] \geqslant \binom{n}{k} \left[\frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{\beta^2}{4} \frac{2}{\beta} \right] = \binom{n}{k} \beta, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that the function $(0, \infty) \ni x \mapsto x + \beta^2/4x$ attains its minimal value at $x = \beta/2$. In the case $i + j < 0$ it is enough to replace i, j, α, β by $-i, -j, 1/\alpha, \beta/\alpha^2$, respectively, in the previous reasoning. $\square$

Lemma 5 *Let* $a \in (0,\infty)$, $b \in (0,1)$ *and let* $f\colon [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a solution of* (1) *continuous at zero and such that* $f(0)=0$. *Then for every* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *and every* $t>0$ *we have*

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} f\left(a^{k+1}b^{n-k}t\right).$$

In particular, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, *and every* $t > 0$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \binom{n}{k} f\left(a^{k}b^{n-k}t\right) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $t>0$. By mathematical induction we have that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} f(ab^n t) + f(b^m t) \to \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(ab^n t),$$

since $b \in (0,1)$ and f is continuous at zero, so $f(b^m t) \to 0$ for $m \to \infty$. This means that we have proved the required equality in the case $k=0$. This equality will be used in the next step of the proof.

Now let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed and assume that for each $t>0$

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=k-1}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k-1} f\left(a^{k}b^{n-k+1}t\right).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(t) &= \sum_{n=k-1}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k-1} f\left(a^{k}b^{n-k+1}t\right) = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \binom{n-1}{k-1} f\left(a^{k}b^{n-k}t\right) \\
&= \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \binom{n-1}{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f\left(a^{k+1}b^{n+j-k}t\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \binom{n-1}{k-1} f\left(a^{k+1}b^{n+j-k}t\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=n}^{\infty}\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \binom{n-1}{k-1} f\left(a^{k+1}b^{i-k}t\right) = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{n=k}^{i} \binom{n-1}{k-1}\right] f\left(a^{k+1}b^{i-k}t\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \binom{i}{k} f\left(a^{k+1}b^{i-k}t\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof. □

Lemma 6 *Let $a, b \in (0, \infty)$ and let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ be a solution of* (1). *Then for every $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$*

$$f(t)^2 \leqslant f\left(a^{-i}b^{-j}t\right) f\left(a^{i}b^{j}t\right), \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. Lemma 2 yields $a, b \in (0, 1)$. By Lemma 3 we may assume, without loss of generality, that f is positive. Let $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \in (0, 2\alpha)$ be fixed. Choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ for which the assertion of Lemma 4 holds. Let $t > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 5 there exists $n \geqslant 2n_0$ such that

$$\binom{n}{k} f\left(a^{k}b^{n-k}t\right) \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2\beta n_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \binom{n}{k} f\left(a^{n-k}b^{k}t\right) \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2\beta n_0}$$

for every $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n_0 - 1\}$. Then

$$\binom{n}{k} f\left(a^{k}b^{n-k}t\right) \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2\beta n_0}, \quad k \in \{0, \ldots, n_0 - 1\} \cup \{n - n_0 + 1, \ldots, n\}.$$

Now, by Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&f\left(a^{-i}b^{-j}t\right) + \alpha^2 f\left(a^{i}b^{j}t\right) \\
&\quad = \sum_{k=0}^{n+i+j} \binom{n+i+j}{k} f\left(a^{k-i}b^{n+i-k}t\right) + \alpha^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-i-j} \binom{n-i-j}{k} f\left(a^{k+i}b^{n-i-k}t\right) \\
&\quad = \sum_{m=-i}^{n+j} \binom{n+i+j}{m+i} f\left(a^{m}b^{n-m}t\right) + \alpha^2 \sum_{m=i}^{n-j} \binom{n-i-j}{m-i} f\left(a^{m}b^{n-m}t\right) \\
&\quad \geqslant \beta \sum_{m=n_0}^{n-n_0} \binom{n}{m} f\left(a^{m}b^{n-m}t\right) \geqslant \beta \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} f\left(a^{m}b^{n-m}t\right) - \varepsilon \\
&\quad = \beta f(t) - \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Since β was an arbitrary number from the interval $(0, 2\alpha)$ we obtain that for every $t > 0$

$$f\left(a^{-i}b^{-j}t\right) + \alpha^2 f\left(a^{i}b^{j}t\right) \geqslant 2\alpha f(t),$$

which implies the required inequality. □

The proof of Theorem 1 presented below can be essentially shortened by using the main result from [14]. Nevertheless we decided to give an immediate and elementary argument following some ideas of [9]. However, first notice the following fact concerning subgroups of the multiplicative group $(0, \infty)$.

Remark 1. It is well known (cf. [8], Ch. XXIII, Th. 438) that every subgroup of $((0,\infty),\cdot)$ is either of the form $\{q^n\colon n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ with some $q\in[1,\infty)$, or is a dense subset of $(0,\infty)$. The second case occurs, for instance, when at least two elements x, y of the group have non-commensurable logarithms: $\ln x/\ln y\notin\mathbb{Q}$ or the group contains elements different from one but arbitrarily close to it. In particular, each subgroup with non-empty interior is equal to $(0,\infty)$.

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 3 we may assume, without loss of generality, that $f(t)>0$ for every $t>0$. It follows from Lemma 2 that $a, b\in(0,1)$. For every $t>0$ we define the orbit $C(t)$ of t by

$$C(t)=\left\{a^i b^j t\colon i,j\in\mathbb{Z}\right\}.$$

Since $C(1)$ is a subgroup of the group $(0,\infty)$, Remark 1 yields that either $\ln a/\ln b\in\mathbb{Q}$ and $C(t)=\{c^j t\colon j\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ for some $c>1$, or $\ln a/\ln b\notin\mathbb{Q}$ and the set $C(t)$ is dense in $(0,\infty)$.

Take $t_0>0$ and let $G=\overline{\{\ln x\colon x\in C(1)\}}$ and $A=\overline{\{\ln x\colon x\in C(t_0)\}}$. The function $F\colon A\mapsto\mathbb{R}$, defined by $F(x)=\ln f(e^x)$, is continuous and, by Lemma 6, convex:

$$2F(x)\leqslant F(x-h)+F(x+h),\qquad x\in A,\ h\in G.$$

Therefore, if $G=\mathbb{R}$ then, according to [13] VII.3, Th. 2, the function

$$A\times(G\setminus\{0\})\ni(x,h)\mapsto\frac{F(x+h)-F(x)}{h}$$

is increasing with respect to each variable. Clearly the same holds true if the group G is discrete. In particular, we obtain that the following limits exist and do not depend on h:

$$p_-=\lim_{x\to-\infty}\frac{F(x+h)-F(x)}{h},\quad\text{and}\quad p_+=\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{F(x+h)-F(x)}{h}.$$

Obviously $-\infty\leqslant p_-\leqslant p_+\leqslant\infty$. If $p_+=\infty$, then, taking $x=\ln t$, $h=\ln a$ and $h=\ln b$, we would have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{f(at)}{f(t)}=0,\quad\text{and}\quad\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{f(bt)}{f(t)}=0,$$

which is impossible in view of equation (1). Thus $p_+ < \infty$. Similarly $p_- > -\infty$. Now we have that

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(at)}{f(t)} = a^{p_-}, \qquad \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{f(at)}{f(t)} = a^{p_+},$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(bt)}{f(t)} = b^{p_-}, \qquad \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{f(bt)}{f(t)} = b^{p_+}.$$

The equation (1) implies that $a^{p_-} + b^{p_-} = 1$ and $a^{p_+} + b^{p_+} = 1$. As $a, b \in (0,1)$ there is exactly one real root p of the equation $a^p + b^p = 1$; clearly $p > 0$. Consequently, we get $p_- = p_+ = p$.

Notice now that the monotonicity of $A \ni x \mapsto h^{-1}(F(x+h) - F(x))$ implies the monotonicity of the continuous functions $C(t_0) \ni t \mapsto f(at)/f(t)$ and $C(t_0) \ni t \mapsto f(bt)/f(t)$, and thus

$$f(at) = a^p f(t), \quad \text{and} \quad f(bt) = b^p f(t), \qquad t \in \overline{C(t_0)} \setminus \{0\}.$$

If $t \in C(t_0)$ then $t = a^i b^j t_0$ for some $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, so

$$f(t) = f(a^i b^j t_0) = a^{pi} b^{pj} f(t_0) = \frac{f(t_0)}{t_0^p} t^p.$$

This implies that the positive and continuous function H on $(0, \infty)$, defined by

$$H(t) = t^{-p} f(t),$$

is constant on every orbit, and thus, in particular, $H(t) = H(at) = H(bt)$ for each $t \in (0, \infty)$. To complete the proof it is enough to observe that if $\ln a / \ln b \notin \mathbb{Q}$ then $\overline{C(t_0)} = [0, \infty)$ for each $t_0 > 0$, and thus H is constant on $[0, \infty)$. □

Observe that the property of H in Theorem 1 is similar to that of double periodicity in complex function theory, which goes back to Jacobi and Weierstrass (see eg. [4], Ch. XIII, XIV).

3 Stable distributions in the sense of the weak generalized convolution

In [25] K. Urbanik considered stable distributions with respect to a generalized convolution $\diamond$. Theorem 4 in [25] states that if h is a continuous homomorphism from $\mathcal{P}_+$ to $\mathbb{R}$ and for some measure $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$ there exists a sequence $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers such that

$$T_{c_n}\lambda^{\diamond n} \to \lambda_0 \neq \delta_0,$$

then there exist positive numbers c and p such that

$$h(T_x\lambda_0) = \exp\left\{-cx^p\right\}, \qquad x \geqslant 0.$$

The existence of at least one such measure λ follows from the property (v) of the definition of the generalized convolution $\diamond$; thus we know that for $\lambda = \delta_1$ such a sequence $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ exists. Because of the form of $h(T_x\lambda_0)$ it was natural to call the measure λ_0 stable with respect to the convolution $\diamond$.

For the weak generalized convolution $\oplus_\mu$ the condition (v) need not hold, so we will define $\oplus_\mu$-stable distributions using the classical linearity conditions. In this paper we restrict our attention to the symmetric weakly stable distributions.

Definition 2 *Let μ be a non-trivial symmetric weakly stable measure on a separable Banach space $\mathbb{E}$. A measure $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$ is stable with respect to the weak generalized convolution $\oplus = \oplus_\mu$ if*

$$\forall\, r,s \geqslant 0\ \exists\, c(r,s) \geqslant 0, d(r,s) \in \mathbb{R} \quad (T_r\lambda) \oplus (T_s\lambda) = (T_{c(r,s)}\lambda) \oplus \delta_{d(r,s)}.$$

If for every $r,s > 0$ we have $d(r,s) = 0$ then we say that λ is strictly stable with respect to $\oplus$.

Remark 2. The condition in Definition 2 written in the language of random variables states that there are functions $c\colon [0,\infty)^2 \to [0,\infty)$ and $d\colon [0,\infty)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\forall\, r,s \geqslant 0 \quad r\mathbf{X}\theta + s\mathbf{X}'\theta' \stackrel{d}{=} c(r,s)\mathbf{X}\theta + d(r,s)\mathbf{X}',$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}') = \mu$, $\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \mathcal{L}(\theta') = \lambda$, $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}', \theta, \theta'$ are independent. Putting $\|(r,s)\|_2 = \sqrt{r^2+s^2}$ for every $r,s \geqslant 0$, $(r,s) \neq (0,0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{r}{\|(r,s)\|_2}\mathbf{X}\theta + \frac{s}{\|(r,s)\|_2}\mathbf{X}'\theta' \\ \stackrel{d}{=}\ & c\left(\frac{r}{\|(r,s)\|_2}, \frac{s}{\|(r,s)\|_2}\right)\mathbf{X}\theta + d\left(\frac{r}{\|(r,s)\|_2}, \frac{s}{\|(r,s)\|_2}\right)\mathbf{X}',\end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\forall\ r,s \geqslant 0 \quad r\mathbf{X}\theta + s\mathbf{X}'\theta' \stackrel{d}{=} c_1(r,s)\mathbf{X}\theta + d_1(r,s)\mathbf{X}',$$

where c_1 and d_1 are given by $c_1(0,0) = d_1(0,0) = 0$

$$c_1(r,s) = \|(r,s)\|_2\ c\left(\frac{r}{\|(r,s)\|_2}, \frac{s}{\|(r,s)\|_2}\right),$$

and

$$d_1(r,s) = \|(r,s)\|_2\ d\left(\frac{r}{\|(r,s)\|_2}, \frac{s}{\|(r,s)\|_2}\right).$$

In the other words, in Definition 2 we can always assume that the functions c and d are homogeneous:

$$c(ru,su) = uc(r,s), \quad \text{and} \quad d(ru,su) = ud(r,s),$$

for every $r,s,u \geqslant 0$. Notice also that, since $\mathbf{X}$ has a symmetric distribution, $a\mathbf{X} \stackrel{d}{=} -a\mathbf{X}$ for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies that without loss of generality we can assume that d is nonnegative, taking if necessary $|d(r,s)|$ instead of $d(r,s)$ for every $r,s \geqslant 0$. In what follows we will always do so. On the other hand, as we will see in Remark 4, the functions c and d, at least in the case of symmetric stable distribution $\mu = \gamma_p$, need be neither homogeneous, nor unique, nor continuous.

Notice that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the measure δ_x is stable with respect to the weak generalized convolution $\oplus = \oplus_\mu$ for each weakly stable measure μ. Indeed, for every $r,s > 0$ we have $(T_r\delta_x) \oplus (T_s\delta_x) = (T_r\delta_x) \oplus \delta_{sx}$, so the condition holds with $c(r,s) = r$ and $d(r,s) = sx$. Consequently, we will say that δ_x is the trivial example of $\oplus_\mu$-stable distribution, just as in the classical case.

In order to characterize stable distributions with respect to the weak generalized convolution $\oplus_\mu$ we choose first $\xi \in \mathbb{E}^*$ such that the random variable $< \xi, \mathbf{X} >$, $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}) = \mu$, is symmetric and non-trivial. Since the distribution $\mu_\xi = \mathcal{L}(< \xi, \mathbf{X} >)$ is weakly stable for each weakly stable measure μ, we have that if $\mu_\xi \circ \lambda_1 = \mu_\xi \circ \lambda_2$ for some $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{P}_+$ then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

Following the Urbanik construction for every $\xi \in \mathbb{E}^*$ we define a homomorphism $h_\xi \colon \mathcal{P}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$h_\xi(\nu) = \int_{[0,\infty)} \widehat{\mu}(t\xi)\nu(dt), \qquad \nu \in \mathcal{P}_+.$$

Let $\psi(t) = \widehat{\mu}(t\xi)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We see that ψ is the characteristic function of the measure μ_ξ and the function $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto h_\xi(T_t\nu)$ is the characteristic function of the measure $\mu_\xi \circ \nu$. From the previous considerations it follows that the functionals $h_\xi(T_t\cdot)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, separate points in $\mathcal{P}_+$ in the sense that if $h_\xi(T_t\lambda_1) = h_\xi(T_t\lambda_2)$ for some $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{P}_+$ and for each $\xi \in \mathbb{E}^*$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

Now for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let $\varphi(t) = h_\xi(T_t\lambda)$, where $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ is stable with respect to the generalized convolution $\oplus_\mu$. By Definition 2 there exist nonnegative functions c and d on $[0,\infty)^2$ such that the functional equation

$$\varphi(rt)\varphi(st) = \varphi(c(r,s)t)\psi(d(r,s)t) \tag{2}$$

is satisfied. According to Remark 2 we can also assume, if necessary, that c and d are homogenous. We need to solve equation (2) in the set Φ_2 of pairs of characteristic functions, given by

$$\Phi_2 = \left\{ (\varphi,\psi) \in \Phi \times \Phi \colon \exists\ \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+\ \ \forall\ t \in \mathbb{R}\ \ \varphi(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(ts)\lambda(ds) \right\}.$$

In what follows we consider four possible, complementary but not disjoint, cases concerning the function d:

3.1 measures strictly stable with respect to the weak generalized convolution, when $d(r,s) = 0$ for every $r, s > 0$;

3.2 p-self-decomposable measures with respect to the weak generalized convolution, when such that $d(r,0) > 0$ or $d(0,r) > 0$ for some $r > 0$;

3.3 semi-stable measures with respect to the weak generalized convolution, when $d(r,s) = 0$ for some $r, s > 0$;

3.4 (c,d)-pseudostable measures with respect to the weak generalized convolution, when $d(r,s) > 0$ for each $r, s > 0$.

3.1 Measures strictly stable with respect to the weak generalized convolution

Definition 2 states that if $d(r,s) = 0$, for every $r, s \geqslant 0$, then the measure λ is strictly stable with respect to $\oplus_\mu$. In this case we do not need to assume that the measure μ is symmetric, so (2) leads to the equation

$$\forall\ r, s \geqslant 0\ \exists\ c(r,s) \geqslant 0\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \varphi(rt)\varphi(st) = \varphi(c(r,s)t). \tag{3}$$

Equation (3) is the classical one defining strictly stable distributions and neither symmetry of the measure μ, nor homogeneity of the function c is required to obtain that φ is the characteristic function of a strictly stable distribution. This means that there exist $p \in (0,2]$, $\sigma > 0$ and $\beta \in [-1,1]$ such that

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} \exp\left\{-\sigma^p |t|^p \left(1 - \beta \mathrm{sgn}(t) \tan\frac{\pi p}{2}\right)\right\} & \text{if } p \neq 1, \\ \exp\left\{-\sigma|t| + i\mu t\right\} & \text{if } p = 1. \end{cases}$$

Since φ is the characteristic function of the random variable $<\xi, \mathbf{X}\theta>$, where $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}) = \mu$, $\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \lambda$, $\mathbf{X}$ and θ independent, then we obtain that every one-dimensional projection of the random vector $\mathbf{X}\theta$ is strictly stable. This implies (for details see e.g. Th. 2.1.5 in [21]) that the index of stability does not depend on ξ and the random vector $\mathbf{X}\theta$ is strictly stable. In this way we proved the following:

Theorem 2 *Let μ be a non-trivial weakly stable distribution on $\mathbb{E}$. If $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ is strictly stable with respect to the weak generalized convolution $\oplus_\mu$ then $\mu \circ \lambda$ is strictly stable, i.e. μ and λ are factors of a strictly stable distribution.*

Example 1. Let $\mathbf{U}^n$ be the random vector with the uniform distribution $\mu = \omega_n$ on the unit sphere $S_{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (as well we can consider here any projection $\mathbf{U}^{n,k}$ of $\mathbf{U}^n$ into $\mathbb{R}^k$, $k < n$). The ω_n-weakly Gaussian random variable Γ_n is defined by the following equation:

$$\mathbf{U}^n \cdot \Gamma_n \stackrel{d}{=} (X_1, \ldots, X_n) = \mathbf{X},$$

where $\mathbf{U}^n$ and Γ_n are independent, $\mathbf{X}$ is an n-dimensional Gaussian random vector with independent identically distributed coordinates. It is known, and it was already known to Schoenberg in 1938 (see [23]), that for every spherically invariant random vector $\mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ we have $\mathbf{Y} \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{U}^n \cdot \|\mathbf{Y}\|_2$, where $\mathbf{U}^n$ and $\|\mathbf{Y}\|_2$ are independent. This implies that Γ_n is the distribution of $\|\mathbf{X}\|_2$. Simple calculations show that Γ_n has the density given by

$$f_{2,n}(r) = \frac{2}{2^{n/2}\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} r^{n-1} e^{-r^2/2}.$$

For $n = 2$ this is the Rayleigh distribution with parameter $\lambda = 2$, thus the Rayleigh distribution is ω_2-weakly Gaussian. For $n = 3$ this is the Maxwell distribution with parameter $\lambda = 2$, thus the Maxwell distribution is ω_3-weakly Gaussian. Recall that the generalized Gamma distribution with parameters $\lambda, p, a > 0$ (notation $\Gamma(\lambda, p, a)$) has density function given by

$$f(x) = \frac{a}{\Gamma(p/a)\lambda^{p/a}} x^{p-1} \exp\left\{ -\frac{x^a}{\lambda} \right\}, \qquad x > 0.$$

Thus we have that the generalized Gamma distribution $\Gamma(\lambda, n, 2)$ is ω_n-weakly Gaussian.

Now let θ_α^n be an ω_n-weakly strictly α-stable random variable. Then $\mathbf{U}^n \theta_\alpha^n$ is rotationally invariant α-stable random vector for the vector $\mathbf{U}^n$ independent of θ_α^n. On the other hand every rotationally invariant α-stable random vector has the same distribution as $\mathbf{Y}\sqrt{\theta_{\alpha/2}}$, where $\mathbf{Y}$ is a rotationally invariant Gaussian random vector independent of the nonnegative variable $\theta_{\alpha/2}$ with the Laplace transform $e^{-t^{\alpha/2}}$. Finally we have

$$\mathbf{U}^n \cdot \theta_\alpha^n \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{U}^n \Gamma_n \sqrt{\theta_{\alpha/2}},$$

for $\mathbf{U}^n$, Γ_n and $\theta_{\alpha/2}$ independent. This implies that the density of a ω_n-weakly strictly α-stable random variable θ_α^n is given by

$$f_{\alpha,n}(r) = \int_0^\infty f_{2,n}\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{s}} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} f_{\alpha/2}(s) ds.$$

In particular, if we take $\alpha = 1$ then

$$f_{1/2}(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} x^{-3/2} e^{-1/(2x)}, \quad x > 0.$$

Simple calculations and the duplication formula

$$\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(2s) = 2^{2s-1}\Gamma(s)\Gamma\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad s > 0,$$

show that

$$f_{1,n}(r) = \frac{2^{2-n}\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n/2)\Gamma(n/2)} \frac{r^{n-1}}{(r^2+1)^{(n+1)/2}}, \quad r > 0,$$

is the density function of the ω_n-weakly strictly Cauchy distribution.

Remark 3. Finally we get the following interesting statement:

If X is a nonnegative random variable with the density function $f_{\alpha,n}$, or if X is a random variable such that $\mathcal{L}(|X|)$ has the density $f_{\alpha,n}$ and X' has also this property, then the random variable

$$Y = \|X\mathbf{U}^n + X'\mathbf{U}'^n\|_2$$

has the density $2^{-1/\alpha} f_{\alpha,n}\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot 2^{-1/\alpha}\right)$, i.e. $Y \overset{d}{=} 2^{1/\alpha}|X|$.

Remark 4. Spherically invariant (or spherically generated, or rotationally invariant) measures, mentioned in Example 1, are extensively studied and applied in stochastic modeling. More information about such measures can be found in [6]. It is worth mentioning here that in 1963 J.F.C. Kingman (see [10]) constructed an independent increments, two-dimensional (in the simplest case) stochastic process $\{X_t : t \geqslant 0\}$, where increments are spherically invariant. This process is associated to $\{Y_t = \|X_t\|_2 : t \geqslant 0\}$, describing the distance of the particle to the origin, has increments independent in the sense of the ω_2-weak generalized convolution, but obviously, these increments are not independent in the usual sense. This paper was an important part of the original motivation for Urbanik's generalized convolution.

The next theorem gives the full characterization of γ_α-weakly strictly stable distribution λ for γ_α strictly α-stable. Recall that among stable distributions only strictly stable distributions are weakly stable and, except the symmetric case, only $\mathbb{R}_+$-weak stability can be considered, i.e. constants a, b, c in definition of weakly stable distribution shall be positive (for details see [17]). Non-symmetric stable distributions are not weakly stable on $\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3 *Let γ_α be a strictly α-stable distribution on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *λ is γ_α-weakly strictly stable;*
2. *$\lambda = \mathcal{L}(a\theta_p^{1/\alpha})$ for some $a > 0$, $p \in (0,1]$ and θ_p is a positive random variable with Laplace transform $\mathbf{E}e^{-t\theta_p} = e^{-t^p}$ if $p \in (0,1)$, and $\theta_1 \equiv 1$;*
3. *$\gamma_\alpha \circ \lambda = T_a\gamma_{\alpha p}$ for some αp-strictly stable distribution $\gamma_{\alpha p}$ and some numbers $a > 0$ and $p \in (0,1)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2 we have that condition 1 implies that the scale mixture $\gamma_\alpha \circ \lambda$ is strictly stable; thus 1 implies 3. Evidently 2 yields 1. The implication 3 ⇒ 2 follows from [3], where M. Borowiecka-Olszewska proved that the scale mixture of strictly α-stable distribution is stable if and only if the mixing distribution λ equals $\mathcal{L}(a\theta_p^{1/\alpha})$ for some $a > 0$ and $p \in (0, 1]$. □

3.2 c-selfdecomposable measures with respect to the weak generalized convolution

The case which we are considering here seems to be rather unrealistic but it leads to a very interesting class of distributions and, because of this, is worth including.

Assume, for instance, that for some $r > 0$ we have $d(r, 0) \neq 0$, and so, by the homogeneity condition $d(1, 0) \neq 0$. If now $c(1, 0) = 0$, then we would have $\varphi(t) = \psi(d(1, 0)t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and the corresponding measure λ would be concentrated at a point. This case is considered as trivial. Thus assume also that $c(1, 0) \neq 0$. Now, putting $\alpha = c(0, 1)$ and $\beta = d(0, 1)$, we see that $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and (φ, ψ) is a solution of the functional equation

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi(\alpha t)\psi(\beta t).$$

This recalls the definition of b-semi-selfdecomposable distributions, which in the book of Sato [22] was given in the following way:

A non-trivial probability measure ν is semi-selfdecomposable if there exist a number $b > 1$ and an infinitely divisible probability measure ρ_b such that

$$\widehat{\nu}(t) = \widehat{\nu}(b^{-1}t)\widehat{\rho_b}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Notice that the condition $b > 1$ can be omitted if we are talking about nontrivial distributions. If $b = 1$, then the condition holds for any ν with the trivial measure $\rho_1 = \delta_0$. For $b < 1$, notice first that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nu}(t) &= \widehat{\nu}(b^{-1}t)\widehat{\rho_b}(t) = \widehat{\nu}(b^{-2}t)\widehat{\rho_b}(b^{-1}t)\widehat{\rho_b}(t) \\ &= \ldots = \widehat{\nu}(b^{-n}t)\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\widehat{\rho_b}(b^{-j}t). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $t \to b^n t$, we obtain

$$\widehat{\nu}(b^n t) = \widehat{\nu}(t) \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \widehat{\rho_b}(b^{n-j} t).$$

The left hand side of this formula tends to 1 when $n \to \infty$; thus there exists also the corresponding limit of the right hand side. Since absolute values of all the functions here are bounded from above by 1, this equality holds only if for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|\widehat{\nu}(t)| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left| \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \widehat{\rho_b}(b^{n-j} t) \right| = 1,$$

which is impossible as ν is non-trivial.

In our case $\widehat{\nu} = \varphi$, $b^{-1} = \alpha$ and $\widehat{\rho_b} = \psi(\beta \cdot)$, but we cannot assume that ψ is an infinitely divisible characteristic function. All we know is that ψ is the characteristic function of some nontrivial weakly stable distribution. Notice that

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi(\alpha^n t) \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \psi(\beta \alpha^j t) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi(\beta \alpha^j t),$$

since we have already shown that $\alpha < 1$. Now we see that φ is the characteristic function of the random variable

$$Y = \beta \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^j X_j,$$

where X_j, $j = 0, 1, \ldots$, are independent copies of the variable X with distribution μ. Since the class $\{\mu \circ \lambda \colon \lambda \in \mathcal{P}\}$ of mixtures of the weakly stable distribution μ forms a set which is weakly closed and closed under convolution and rescaling, we see that $Y \stackrel{d}{=} X\theta$ for some θ independent of X, $\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \lambda$, under the assumption that the series defining Y converges in distribution. Now let us accept

Definition 3 *Let X be a non-trivial weakly stable random vector with the distribution μ and let $\alpha \in (0,1)$. A random variable θ (or its distribution) is α^{-1}-selfdecomposable in the sense of the generalized weak convolution $\oplus_\mu$ if there exists a random variable Q such that*

$$\theta = (\alpha\theta) \oplus_\mu Q.$$

The previous considerations show that the following proposition holds:

Proposition 1 *Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a non-trivial weakly stable random vector with the distribution μ, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and let θ be α^{-1}-selfdecomposable in the sense of the weak generalized convolution $\oplus_\mu$. Assume that $\mathbf{X}$ and θ are independent. Then*

- *if $\theta = (\alpha\theta) \oplus_\mu \beta$, then the random variable θ is uniquely determined by the condition*
$$\mathbf{X}\theta \overset{d}{=} \beta \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^j \mathbf{X}_j,$$
where X_j's are independent copies of X.
- *if $\theta = (\alpha\theta) \oplus_\mu Q$ for some non-trivial random variable Q, then θ is uniquely determined by the condition*
$$\mathbf{X}\theta \overset{d}{=} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^j \mathbf{X}_j \; Q_j,$$
where $\mathbf{X}_j$'s are independent copies of $\mathbf{X}$ and Q_j's are independent copies of Q such that $(\mathbf{X}_j)_{j\in\mathbf{N}}$ and $(Q_j)_{j\in\mathbf{N}}$ are independent.

In the case when X has strictly p-stable distribution, we have that the series defining Y converges at least in distribution, and

$$Y = \beta \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^j X_j \overset{d}{=} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{jp} \right)^{1/p} X = (1-\alpha^p)^{-1/p} X.$$

Thus the random variable θ exists and $\mathbf{P}\{\theta = (1-\alpha^p)^{-1/p}\} = 1$, which we consider as a trivial solution.

Let $X = U_{n,1}$ with the distribution $\omega_{n,1}$ be the one-dimensional margin of the vector $\mathbf{U}^n$ with the uniform distribution on the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then we have $\mathbf{E}U_{n,1} = 0$ and $\mathrm{Var}U_{n,1} \leqslant 1 < \infty$. Thus the series defining Y converges in L_2, so also almost everywhere. Thus the random variable Y is well defined, and there exists θ_n such that

$$X\theta_n \overset{d}{=} \beta \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^j X_j.$$

In the case $n = 3$ the variable $U_{3,1}$ has the uniform distribution on the interval $[-1, 1]$, thus

$$\varphi(t) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(\beta\alpha^j t)}{\beta\alpha^j t}.$$

Let G be the cumulative distribution function for $Y = U_{3,1}\theta_3$. Notice that for every $u \in [0, (1-\alpha)^{-1}]$

$$G(u) = \mathbf{P}\left\{X_1 + \alpha\left(X_2 + \alpha X_3 + \ldots\right) < u/\beta\right\} = \mathbf{P}\left\{X_1 + \alpha Y' < u/\beta\right\},$$

where Y' is a copy of Y independent of X_1. One can also show that for every $u \in [-\beta(1-\alpha)^{-1}, \beta(1-\alpha)^{-1}]$ we have

$$\beta G'(u) = G\left(\frac{u+\beta}{\alpha}\right) - G\left(\frac{u-\beta}{\alpha}\right).$$

Moreover, G as the distribution function of a symmetric random variable has the property $G(-u) = 1 - G(u)$ for every $u \in \mathbb{R}$. G is also strictly increasing on $[-\beta(1-\alpha)^{-1}, \beta(1-\alpha)^{-1}]$ and $G(-\beta(1-\alpha)^{-1}) = 0$. Similar conditions can be obtained considering $U_{n,1}$ for an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3.3 Semi-stable measures with respect to the weak generalized convolution

Let $Z = \{(r,s) \in (0,\infty)^2 \colon d(r,s) = 0\}$. In this subsection we assume that Z is nonempty, postponing the case $Z = \emptyset$ to the next section. We assume also that $Z \neq (0,\infty)^2$, since the opposite case was considered in section 3.1.

Theorem 4 *Let $c, d \colon [0,\infty)^2 \to [0,\infty)$. Assume that $Z \neq \emptyset$ and $Z \neq (0,\infty)^2$. Let $\varphi, \psi \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be nontrivial characteristic functions satisfying equation (2). Then there exist $p \in (0,2]$ and even continuous functions $H, K \colon \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \to (0,\infty)$ such that*

$$\varphi(t) = e^{-|t|^p H(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(t) = e^{-|t|^p K(t)}$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$H(rt) = H(st) = H(c(r,s)t) \quad \text{and} \quad K(rt) = K(st) = K(c(r,s)t)$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $(r,s) \in Z$. Moreover,

$$r^p H(rt) + s^p H(st) = c(r,s)^p H(c(r,s)t) + d(r,s)^p K(d(r,s)t)$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $r, s > 0$ such that $c(r,s) > 0$ and $(r,s) \notin Z$.

Proof. Take any $(r,s) \in Z$. Then for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that $\varphi(rt)\varphi(st) = \varphi\left(c(r,s)t\right)$. The equality $c(r,s) = 0$ would imply that $\varphi(rt)\varphi(st) \equiv 1$ and next $\varphi \equiv 1$. Thus $c(r,s) > 0$, whence φ satisfies the functional equation

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi(at)\varphi(bt), \tag{4}$$

where $a := r/c(r,s)$ and $b := s/c(r,s)$ are positive numbers. This implies, in particular, that φ is the characteristic function of an infinitely divisible distribution and, consequently, it does not attain value zero. This property can easily be proved directly. First we verify that $a, b < 1$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $a \geqslant b$. If $a = 1$, then by (4), $\varphi(bt) = 1$ for every $t > 0$, so φ would be identically one. Suppose that $a > 1$ and take any $u > 0$ such that $\varphi(t) > 0$ for every $t \in (0,u)$. If $t \in (0,u)$, then $a^{-1}t, a^{-1}bt \in (0,u)$ and thus by (4),

$$1 \geqslant \varphi(t) = \varphi(aa^{-1}t) = \frac{\varphi(a^{-1}t)}{\varphi(ba^{-1}t)} \geqslant \varphi(a^{-1}t),$$

whence, by induction,

$$1 \geqslant \varphi(t) \geqslant \varphi(a^{-n}t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, using the continuity of φ, we deduce that $\varphi(t) = 1$ for every $t \in (0,u)$, and, consequently, for every $t > 0$. Therefore $a < 1$ and $b < 1$. Suppose now that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $\varphi(t_0) = 0$. Then (4) gives $\varphi(t_n) = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$t_{n+1} = at_n \quad \text{or} \quad t_{n+1} = bt_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $a, b < 1$ the sequence $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ tends to zero and thus, by the continuity of φ, we get $\varphi(0) = 0$, which is impossible.

Now we can define $f = -\ln\varphi$. The function f is non-negative, continuous and even, $f(0) = 0$. Moreover, f as a logarithm of characteristic function does not attain value zero in a vicinity of zero. Clearly, f satisfies equation (1). By Theorem 1 there exist $p \in (0,\infty)$ and an even continuous function $H\colon \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \to (0,\infty)$ such that for every $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$

$$f(t) = |t|^p H(t) \quad \text{and} \quad H(rt) = H(st) = H(c(r,s)t).$$

Consequently,

$$\varphi(t) = e^{-|t|^p H(t)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Now we show that p and H do not depend on the choice of the point $(r,s) \in Z$. Indeed, as $H(at) = H(bt) = H(t)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, it follows from (4) that $a^p + b^p = 1$. This and the relation $a, b \in (0,1)$ force that p, and then consequently H, in the representation of f are unique.

We see now that φ is the characteristic function of a semi-stable distribution (see eg. [22], Chapter 3) with the characteristic exponent p, and consequently $p \in (0,2]$.

Now take any $(r_0, s_0) \in (0,\infty)^2 \setminus Z$. Then we have

$$\varphi(a_0 t)\varphi(b_0 t) = \varphi(c_0 t)\psi(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

with $a_0 = r_0/d(r_0,s_0)$, $b_0 = s_0/d(r_0,s_0)$, $c_0 = c(r_0,s_0)/d(r_0,s_0)$. Since φ is positive, so is ψ. Define $g\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $g = -\ln\psi$. The last condition implies now that

$$g(t) = |t|^p \left(a_0^p H(a_0 t) + b_0^p H(b_0 t) - c_0^p H(c_0 t)\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\},$$

so it is enough to define K by $K(t) = a_0^p H(a_0 t) + b_0^p H(b_0 t) - c_0^p H(c_0 t)$. Observe that g is positive in a vicinity of zero. Thus, as it satisfies equation (1) like f, it follows from Lemma 3 that g is positive. Since $g(t) = |t|^p K(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, also K is positive. To obtain the final assertion it is sufficient to insert the forms of φ and ψ into the equation. $\square$

Corollary 1 *Let $c, d\colon [0,\infty)^2 \to [0,\infty)$. Assume that $d(r,s) > 0$ for some $r, s > 0$ and the group generated by the set $\{r/s\colon (r,s) \in Z\}$ is dense in $(0,\infty)$. Let $\varphi, \psi\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be nontrivial characteristic functions satisfying equation* (2). *Then there are $p \in (0,2]$ and $A, B > 0$ such that*

$$\varphi(t) = \exp\{-A|t|^p\}, \quad \psi(t) = \exp\{-B|t|^p\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$A\Big(r^p + s^\alpha - c(r,s)^p\Big) = Bd(r,s)^p, \quad r, s \geqslant 0.$$

Proof. Observe that given a function $G\colon \mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ the set

$$\{r/s\colon\ r, s \in (0,\infty),\ G(rt) = G(st) \text{ for each } t \in \mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \{0\}\}$$

is a subgroup of the group $((0,\infty),\cdot)$. By Theorem 4 we know that $H(rt) = H(st)$ and $K(rt) = K(st)$ for all $(r,s) \in Z$ and $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Thus the density assumption and the continuity of the functions H and K imply that H and K are constant and inserting the form of φ and ψ into (2) implies the assertion. $\square$

Remark 3. As follows from Remark 1 the density assumption in Corollary 1 is satisfied in each of the following cases concerning the set Z of all zeros of the function d:

- interior of Z is non-empty;
- there are $(r_1, s_1), (r_2, s_2) \in Z$ such that $\ln(r_1/s_1)/\ln(r_2/s_2)$ is irrational;
- $\lim_{n\to\infty} r_n/s_n = 1$ for some points $(r_n, s_n) \in Z$ with $r_n \neq s_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 5. Notice that in the case of constant functions $H \equiv A$ and $K \equiv B$ we can arbitrarily choose the function $c\colon [0,\infty)^2 \to [0,\infty)$ such that $c(r,s) \leqslant \|(r,s)\|_p$, and define d by

$$d(r,s)^p = \frac{C}{A}\Big(r^p + s^p - c(r,s)^p\Big).$$

Remark 6. Recall that a random variable X with the characteristic function ϕ is semi-stable if there exist constants $r, b, c > 0$ such that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\phi(t)^r = \phi(ct)\, e^{ibt}.$$

Notice that if X is semi-stable with constants r, b, c then $X + \frac{b}{r}(c-1)$ is semi-stable with constants $r, 0, c$, thus without lost of generality, we can assume that X is semi-stable if this condition holds for $b = 0$. More about semi-stable variables, including canonical form of the characteristic function, Lévy-Khintchine representation and examples, one can find in [22].

Sometimes (see eg. [2]) authors assume that r is a natural number greater than 1. Such definition do not cover all the possible semi-stable variables, but it has a very natural interpretation: there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and a positive constant $c > 0$ such that

$$X_1 + \ldots + X_r \overset{d}{=} cX,$$

where $X_1, \ldots X_r$ are independent copies of X. It was shown in [2] that branching processes provides a large class of semi-stable distributions occurring naturally.

3.4 (c, d)-pseudostable measures with respect to the weak generalized convolution

Equation (2) was discussed by K. Oleszkiewicz in [19] in the special case when μ is a symmetric Gaussian distribution on $\mathbb{R}$ and by J. Misiewicz and G. Mazurkiewicz (see [16]) in the case when μ is a symmetric p-stable distribution on $\mathbb{R}$ for some $p \in (0, 2)$. In both cases they have obtained that the function φ has to be of the form

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_{C,D,q,p}(t) = \exp\left\{-C|t|^q - D|t|^p\right\}$$

for some nonnegative numbers C, D and some $q > 0$. The problem is that not all configurations of these three parameters are available in the sense that for $q > 2$ and some numbers C, D the function $\varphi_{C,D,q,p}$ is not positive definite and, consequently, it cannot be a characteristic function. Otherwise we would take $D_n \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$ and then $\varphi_{C,D_n,q,p}(t) \to \exp\{-C|t|^q\}$ would be positive definite, which, however, is impossible for $q > 2$. This argument shows that for any fixed $q > 2$ and $C = 1$ the numbers D have to be greater than some positive number.

Maybe it is more interesting that for some parameters $C, D > 0$ and some $q > 2$ the function $\varphi_{C,D,q,p}$ is positive definite. It was shown in [19] and [16] that for every $r, s \geqslant 0$

$$c(r, s) = \|(r, s)\|_q = (r^q + s^q)^{1/q}, \qquad d(r, s)^p = \frac{D}{C}\left(\|(r, s)\|_p^p - \|(r, s)\|_q^p\right).$$

Since d is nonnegative we have to require that $\|(r, s)\|_p \geqslant \|(r, s)\|_q$ for all $r, s > 0$, which implies that $q \geqslant p$, thus we have the following

Proposition 2 *Let $p \in (0, 2]$ and $q > 0$. If the pair $(\varphi_{C,D,q,p}, \widehat{\gamma_p}) \in \Phi_2$ is a solution of equation* (2) *for some $C, D > 0$ then $q \geqslant p$.*

Nevertheless, for all $0 < p, q \leqslant 2$ and every choice of the parameters $C, D \geqslant 0$ the function $\varphi_{C,D,q,p}$ is a characteristic function as a product of a symmetric p-stable and a symmetric q-stable characteristic functions.

In [19] Oleszkiewicz proved that

- for $q \in (2, 4] \cup \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} [4k - 2, 4k]$ none of the functions $\varphi_{C,D,q,2}$ can be a characteristic function;

- for $q \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(4k, 4k+2)$ it is possible to find $c, d > 0$ such that $\varphi_{C,D,q,2}$ is a characteristic function.

In [16] Mazurkiewicz and Misiewicz proved that for $0 < p \leqslant 1$, $q > 2$ one can find $C, D > 0$ such that $\varphi_{C,D,q,p}$ is a characteristic function.

Notice that in the situation considered here the information that for fixed parameters the function $\varphi_{C,D,q,p}$ is a characteristic function does not mean yet that the pair $(\varphi_{C,D,q,p}, \widehat{\gamma_p})$ is a solution of equation (2), since we have also the assumption $(\varphi_{C,D,q,p}, \widehat{\gamma_p}) \in \Phi_2$, that is for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$

$$\varphi_{C,D,q,p}(t) = \int_{[0,\infty)} \exp\{-|t|^p s^p\}\, \lambda(ds), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Substituting $|t|^p \to t$ and $\alpha = q/p$ we would have

$$\exp\{-Ct^{\alpha} - Dt\} = \int_{[0,\infty)} \exp\{-t\, s^p\}\, \lambda(ds), \qquad t \geqslant 0,$$

which means that the function $[0,\infty) \ni t \to \exp\{-Ct^{\alpha} - Dt\}$ would be completely monotonic as the Laplace transform of the random variable θ^p, where θ has the γ_p-weakly stable distribution λ with symmetric p-stable γ_p.

Proposition 3 *Let $C, D > 0$, $p \in (0,2]$ and $q \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}((2k-1)p, 2kp)$. Then none of the pairs $(\varphi_{C,D,q,p}, \widehat{\gamma_p})$ is a solution of equation* (2).

Proof. Let $w(t) = Ct^{\alpha} + Dt$ for every $t > 0$, where $\alpha = q/p$. If $(\varphi_{C,D,q,p}, \widehat{\gamma_p})$ is a solution of equation (2) in the class Φ_2 then the formula $g(t) = \exp\{-w(t)\}$ defines a completely monotonic function on the positive half-line. We see that $g'(t) = -w'(t)g(t) < 0$ for every $t > 0$. The second derivative takes the form

$$g''(t) = g(t)\left[(w'(t))^2 - w''(t)\right].$$

Since $\alpha = q/p > 1$, $w''(t) = C\alpha(\alpha-1)t^{\alpha-2}$ for every $t > 0$ and $w'(0^+) = d$ then for $\alpha \in (1,2)$ we obtain

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} g''(t) = -\infty,$$

which means that g is not a completely monotone function.

Consider now $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 1$, and let $\alpha \in ((2k-1), 2k)$. The $(2k)$-derivative of the function g can be written in the following form:

$$g^{(2k)}(t) = \left[h_{2k}(t) - w^{(2k)}\right] g(t),$$

where $h_1(t) \equiv 0$, and for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$h_{m+1}(t) = h'_m(t) - w'(t)\left[h_m(t) - w^{(m)}(t)\right], \quad w^{(m)}(t) = C \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (\alpha - j) \cdot t^{\alpha - m}.$$

It is easy to see that $h_{2k}(t)$ is a linear combination of elements of the form t^{β_j} with either $\beta_j \geqslant \alpha - 2k + 1$, or $\beta_j = 0$ for every j, thus $h_{2k}(0^+)$ is finite for $\alpha \in ((2k-1), 2k)$. We see also that $w^{(2k)}(0^+) = +\infty$, so $g^{(2k)}(0^+) = -\infty$, which means that g cannot be completely monotonic, contrary to our assumptions. $\square$

Proposition 4 *Let $C, D > 0$, $p \in (0, 2]$ and $q > p$. If $(\varphi_{C,D,q,p}, \widehat{\gamma_p}) \in \Phi_2$ is a solution of equation* (2)*, then for each $\alpha \in (0,1)$ the pair $(\varphi_{C,D,q\alpha,p\alpha}, \widehat{\gamma_{p\alpha}})$ is also a solution of equation* (2) *and belongs to Φ_2.*

Proof. It is easy to check that the pair $(\varphi_{C,D,q\alpha,p\alpha}, \widehat{\gamma_{p\alpha}})$ satisfies equation (2). Thus we shall only prove that the function $\varphi_{C,D,q\alpha,p\alpha}$ is the mixture of the function $\widehat{\gamma_{p\alpha}}$ with respect to some measure from $\mathcal{P}_+$. To see this, observe first that by our assumptions there exists a measure $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$ such that

$$\exp\{-C|t|^q - D|t|^p\} = \int_{[0,\infty)} \exp\{-|t|^p s^p\} \lambda(ds), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let X_p be a symmetric stable random variable with the characteristic function $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \exp\{-|t|^p\}$, Q a random variable with distribution λ and θ_α a positive random variable with Laplace transform $[0,\infty) \ni t \to \exp\{-t^\alpha\}$ such that X_p, Q and θ_α are independent. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\exp\left\{itX_p\theta_\alpha^{1/p}Q^{1/\alpha}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\exp\left\{-|t|^p\theta_\alpha Q^{p/\alpha}\right\} \\ &= \int_{[0,\infty)} \exp\{-|t|^{p\alpha}s^p\}\lambda(ds) \\ &= \exp\{-C|t|^{q\alpha} - D|t|^{p\alpha}\} = \varphi_{C,D,q\alpha,p\alpha}(t) \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This shows that $\varphi_{C,D,q\alpha,p\alpha}$ is a scale mixture of the function $\widehat{\gamma_{p\alpha}}$ with respect to the distribution of the random variable $Q^{1/\alpha}$, as required. □

Acknowledgement. The authors are indebted to the referee for his/her valuable remarks and comments.

References

[1] Baron, K., and Jarczyk, W. (1987). *On a way of division of segments*, Aequationes Math. 34, 195–205.

[2] Bingham, N. H. (1988). *On the limit of a supercritical branching process, A Celebration of Applied Probability*, J. Appl. Probab. Special Vol. 25A, 215–228.

[3] Borowiecka–Olszewska, M. (2005). *The functional equation and strictly substable random vectors*, Probab. Math. Statist. 25(2), 267–278.

[4] Copson, E. T. (1935). *An Introduction to the Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, Oxford University Press, London.

[5] Dudley, R.M. (1989). *Real Analysis and Probability*, Wadsworth&Brooks/Cole Advanced Books&Software, Wadsworth Inc., Belmont, California.

[6] Fang, Kai Tai, Kotz, S. and Ng, Kai Wang. (1990). *Symmetric Multivariate and Related Distributions*, *Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability* 36, Chapman & Hall, London.

[7] Feller, W. (1966). *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*, Vol. 2, John Wiley, New York.

[8] Hardy, G. H. and Wright, E.M. (1979). *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers. Fifth edition*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York.

[9] Jarczyk, W. (1991). *A recurrent method of solving iterative functional equations*, Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Śląskiego w Katowicach, 1206, Uniwersytet Śląski, Katowice.

[10] Kingman, J. F. C. (1963). *Random walks with spherical symmetry*, Acta Math. 109, 11–53.

[11] Kucharczak, J., and Urbanik, K. (1974). *Quasi-stable functions*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. 22(3), 263-268.

[12] Kucharczak, J., and Urbanik, K. (1986). *Transformations preserving weak stability*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. 34 (7-8), 475-486.

[13] Kuczma, M. (1985). *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities. Cauchy's Equation and Jensen's Inequalities*, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe and Uniwersyt Śląski, Warszawa - Kraków - Katowice.

[14] Laczkovich, M. (1986). *Nonnegative measurable solutions of difference equations*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 34, 139–147.

[15] Lukács, E. (1960). *Characteristic Functions*, Griffin, London.

[16] Misiewicz, J.K., and Mazurkiewicz, G. (2005) *On (c,p)-pseudostable random variables.* J. Theoret. Probab. 18(4), 837–852.

[17] Misiewicz, J.K., Oleszkiewicz, K., and Urbanik, K. (2005). *Classes of measures closed under mixing and convolution. Weak stability*, Studia Math. 167 (3), 195–213.

[18] Misiewicz, J.K. (2006). *Weak stability and generalized weak convolution for random vectors and stochastic processes. Dynamics & Stochastics, IMS Lecture Notes Monograph Series* 48, 109–118.

[19] Oleszkiewicz, K. (2003). *On p-pseudo-stable random variables, Rosenthal Spaces and ℓ_p^n ball slicing*, in *Milman V.D. and Schechtman G.* (eds.), *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1807, *Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis*, Israel Seminar 2001-2002, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 188–210.

[20] Rosiński, J. *Tempering stable processes*, preprint.

[21] Samorodnitsky, G., and Taqqu, M.S. (1994). *Stable non-Gaussian Random Processes. Stochastic Models with Infinite Variance.* Chapman & Hall.

[22] Sato, Ken-Iti. (1999). *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*, *Cambridge Studies in advanced mathematics 68*, Cambridge University Press.

[23] Schoenberg, I.J. (1938). *Metric spaces and completely monotonic functions.* Ann. of Math. 38, 811–841.

[24] Urbanik, K. (1976). *Remarks on $\mathcal{B}$-stable probability distributions*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. 24(9), 783-787.

[25] Urbanik, K. (1964). *Generalized convolutions*, Studia Math. 23, 217–245.

[26] Urbanik, K. (1973). *Generalized convolutions II*, Studia Math. 45, 57–70.

[27] Urbanik, K. (1984). *Generalized convolutions III*, Studia Math. 80, 167–189.

[28] Urbanik, K. (1986). *Generalized convolutions IV*, Studia Math. 83, 57–95.

[29] Vol'kovich, V. (1992). *On symmetric stochastic convolutions*, J. of Theoret. Probab. 5(3), 417–430.

[30] Vol'kovich, V. (1985). *On infinitely decomposable measures in algebras with stochastic convolution*, *Stability Problems of Stochastic models. Proceedings of VNIICI Seminar*, M., 15–24 (in Russian).

[31] Vol'kovich, V. (1984). *Multidimensional $\mathcal{B}$-stable distributions and some generalized convolutions. Stability Problems of Stochastic Models. Proceedings of VNIICI Seminar*, 40–53 (in Russian).

[32] Zolotarev, V.M. *One-dimensional stable distributions*, Transl. Math. Monographs 65, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence.